NOTE

The γ -Radiolysis of Zeocarb 225 Cation-Exchange Resins

Synopsis

Irradiation of Zeocarb 225 cation-exchange resin leads to a loss of exchange capacity and the formation of SO_4^{2-} ions. $G(SO_4^{2-})$ is dependent upon the relative amount of water associated with the resin and may be reduced by the addition of scavengers, reactive towards hydroxyl radicals. The results suggest that both direct and indirect radiolyses are able to bring about desulphonation and that hydroxyl radicals are responsible for the indirect radiolysis.

INTRODUCTION

Capacity loss in irradiated sulfonic acid cation-exchange resins proceeds largely by the loss of sulfonic acid groups. Some workers appear to regard this effect as being mostly due to the direct radiolysis of the resin,^{1,2} whereas others have discussed the degradation in terms of attack by water radiolysis products.^{3,4} It seems, however, more reasonable that the damage can be brought about by both mechanisms, and this point has been made by Egorov and Novikov.⁵

In this paper we have attempted to assess the relative extents of direct and indirect radiolysis by studying the effect of varying water content upon the rate of formation of SO_4^{2-} ions, which appear to be the major products of desulfonation. A qualitative identification of the species responsible for indirect damage has been sought by the use of scavengers. A similar approach proved useful in studies of the deamination of quaternary ammonium anion-exchange resins.⁶

EXPERIMENTAL

The irradiations were carried out on Zeocarb 225, a polystyrene-based sulfonic acid cation exchange resin, 8% crosslinked. The structure can be represented by:



The sodium form of the resin was used in all the experiments reported here. The resin was washed successively with demineralized water, warm methanol, 4% sodium chloride, and finally demineralized water until no further chloride ions could be detected. After this treatment the resin samples were dried at 60° C and stored in a desiccator.

Samples of the stock resin, 1 g each, were further dried to constant weight at 105° C (about 24 hr). Completely slurried resins were obtained by adding water to the dry resins until the solid was just covered. This gave a resin-to-water ratio of 1:2. Other ratios were obtained by equilibration with water vapor or by the dropwise addition of water and subsequent equilibration in a closed vessel. All the water contents were established by weighing, heating to 105° C, and reweighing.

© 1970 by John Wiley & Sons, Inc.

Irradiations were carried out in stoppered borosilicate glass tubes at dose rates in the range 1.7×10^{17} to 1.4×10^{18} eV/g per min (maximum dose, 7×10^{21} eV/g). The dose rates were measured by the Fricke dosimeter and by taking $G(\text{Fe}^{s+}) = 15.5$.

The tubes containing he resin samples were purged with oxygen-free nitrogen before irradiation. Estimation of the SO_4^{2-} yield was carried out by washing the irradiated resin with water and collecting the combined washings for analysis by the method of Belcher and Kolthoff.⁷

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Effect of Varying the Proportion of Water in the Resin

Table I shows the variation in $G(SO_4^{2-})$ when samples of Zeocarb 225 mixed with various proportions of water were irradiated. It is seen that the addition of water to the dry resin results in an initial rise in $G(SO_4^{2-})$ but that at higher water contents $G(SO_4^{2-})$ decreases once more.

$G(\mathrm{SO_4^{2-}})$	Weight fraction of Water	Fraction of energy absorbed by water
0.22	dry	
0.97	0.18	0.19
1.11	0.41	0.43
1.04	0.46	0.48
0.53	0.66	0.69

TABLE I γ-Irradiation of Mixtures of Zeocarb 225 and Water: Effect of Varying Water Content on G(SO(²⁻)

The G-values in all cases have been calculated on the basis of the total energy absorbed in the system (resin + water), assuming that the relative energy absorption coefficients of the fully sulfonated resin is 0.92 of that for the Fricke dosimeter.⁸

It is useful to consider the results in three regions of water content: (a) the region dry resin-water fraction 0.2; (b) water fraction 0.2-0.4; and (c) water fraction >0.4.

The $G(\mathrm{SO}_4^{2-})$ value for dry resin is very low and is probably not typical of the production of SO_4^{2-} ions by direct radiolysis of the resin in systems containing water. That is, it is probable that water is necessary if the $-\mathrm{SO}_4\mathrm{H}$ groups broken off in the radiolytic step are to appear ultimately as SO_4^{2-} ions.

On the other hand, we believe that the increase in $G(SO_4^{2-})$ between weight fractions of water of 0.2-0.4 is due to the participation of water radiolysis products bringing about desulfonation. In this range, the measured $G(SO_4^{2-})$ is the sum of the contributions of direct and indirect radiolysis:

$G(\mathrm{SO_4^{2-}}) = G_\mathrm{W}f_\mathrm{W} + G_\mathrm{R}f_\mathrm{R}$

where f_W and f_R are the fractions of energy absorbed by the water and resin, respectively, and G_W and G_R are the G-values for the formation of SO₄²⁻-producing species in water (i.e., the G-value for indirect radiolysis) and for the production of SO₄²⁻ by direct radiolysis respectively. From the measured values of $G(SO_4^{2-})$ at $f_W = 0.19$ and $f_W = 0.43$ it can be calculated that $G_R = 0.86$ and $G_W = 1.47$. Implicit in this calculation is the assumption that in this concentration range all the species react with the resin. Recent work has shown that both electrons and hydroxyl radicals are reactive toward poly(styrene sulfonate) ions⁹ and benzene sulfonate ions in aqueous solution.¹⁰ However, it is improbable that all the species reacting with the resin would so react to give SO₄²⁻.

NOTE

The decline in $G(SO_4^{2-})$ at water fractions greater than 0.4 may be ascribed to the increasingly inefficient deposition (as regards SO_4^{2-} formation) of energy in the aqueous phase. Thus, water radiolysis products can only contribute to the resin desulfonation if they are able to diffuse to the resin surface before being involved in some other reaction in the aqueous phase. It is obvious that as the amount of water associated with the resin is increased, an increasing proportion of the water radiolysis products will not reach the resin before reacting in some other way. This will manifest itself as the observed decline in $G(SO_4^{2-})$ at water fractions greater than 0.4.

Effect of Added Scavengers

The resin was also irradiated with aqueous solutions containing methanol, ethanol, and perchloric acid. Table II shows the results of these experiments. Methanol and ethanol were found to depress $G(SO_4^{2-})$, whereas perchloric acid was without effect. Furthermore, inspection of Table II shows that ethanol was a more efficient scavenger than methanol.

Effect of Added Scavengers of G(SO4*)				
Scavenger, M	$G(\mathrm{SO}_4{}^{2-})$			
	Methanol	Ethanol	Perchloric acid	
0	0.53	0.53	0.53	
1	0.42	0.36	0.55	
2	0.36	0.32	0.51	
3	0.35	0.30	<u> </u>	
4	0.34	0.27	0.51	
5	0.30	0.28	0.54	
6	0.29	0.28	0.50	

 TABLE II

 γ -Irradiation of Mixtures of Zeocarb 225 and Water:*

 Effect of Added Scavengers on $G(SO_4^{2-})$

* Zeocarb-to-water ratio 1:2 wt/wt.

The simplest explanation of these observations is that methanol and ethanol scavenge the species responsible for the indirect attack. In view of the known reactivities of ethanol and methanol toward hydroxyl radicals,¹¹ it is probable that it is these species that are responsible for the indirect formation of SO_4^{2-} ions. The lack of effect of H⁺ on the course of the radiolysis rules out the possibility that hydrated electrons are involved in reactions producing SO_4^{2-} ions.

If this explanation is correct, the measured yield of SO_4^{2-} (G = 0.28) in the fully scavenged system arises only from the direct radiolysis of the resin. Since in the slurry only 0.31 of the total energy absorption takes place in the resin, $G(SO_4^{2-})$ for direct radiolysis corresponds to 0.28/0.31 = 0.90. This is in reasonable agreement with the value of $G_{\rm R} = 0.86$ obtained from the water content experiments.

These experiments demonstrate that in interpreting the results of the radiolysis of aqueous slurries of ion-exchange resins, the role of water radiolysis products must be taken into account. Many studies have shown that in general the radiolytic sensitivity of ion-exchange resins is increased in the presence of water. It is possible that energy transfer from the aqueous phase may account for part of this effect. However, the most obvious way in which energy deposited in the water can bring about damage to the resin is by the reactions of the recognized intermediates, hydrated electrons, hydrogen atoms, and hydroxyl radicals. In the case of sulfonic acid resins, it would appear that hydroxyl radicals are the species responsible for indirect radiolytic capacity loss.

2170 JOURNAL OF APPLIED POLYMER SCIENCE VOL. 14 (1970)

We wish to thank the Colombo Plan Authorities and the Saigol Foundation for support for M. K. R. and M. R.

References

1. E. D. Kiseleva and K. V. Chmutov, Russ. J. Phys. Chem., 35, 892 (1961).

2. E. D. Kiseleva and K. V. Chmutov, Russ. J. Phys. Chem., 36, 1968 (1962).

3. O. Costachel and G. Furnica, Vol. 29, of the Proceedings of the 2nd Geneva Conference on the Peaceful Uses of Atomic Energy, United Nations, Geneva 29, 246 (1958).

4. L. L. Smith and H. J. Groh, U. S. Atomic Energy Commission Report DP 549, E. I. du Pont de Nemours & Co., Aiken, S. C. 1961.

5. E. V. Egorov and P. D. Novikov, Action of Ionising Radiation on Ion Exchange Materials, Israel Programme for Scientific Translations, Jerusalem, 1967.

6. M. T. Ahmed, P. G. Clay, and G. R. Hall, J. Chem. Soc., B, 1155 (1966).

7. I. M. Koltoff and R. Belcher, *Volumetric Analysis*, Vol. 4, Interscience, New York, 1957, p. 340.

8. ASTM Standards, Part 29, Standard D2568-68 American Society for Testing and Materials, Philadelphia, 1969.

9. E. A. Balazs, J. V. Davies, G. O. Phillips, and D. S. Scheufele, J. Chem. Soc., C, 1420 (1968).

10. M. Anbar and P. Neta, Int. J. Appl. Radiat. Isotopes, 18, 493 (1967).

P. G. CLAY G. R. HALL M. K. RAHMAN M. RASHID

Department of Chemical Engineering and Chemical Technology Imperial College London S.W. 7, England

Received April 30, 1970 Revised May 10, 1970